Key Issues and Preference* re Those Needing Further Discussion

	Item	Votes	Recap
Conservation		2	11
1	Support and urging for more conservation incentives	4	
2	More public education/involvement, more effort to notice renters of offers	3	
3	Don't use saved water for growth	1	
4	Support for Tiered Rates for users	1	
Env	ironmental Impacts and Mitigations	2	8
5	Concern for cumulative regional impacts, wildlife impacts, cost to environment, plan re ESA	4	
6	ESA impact on need for Filtration Plant and cost		
7	Impact of Diversions from Russian River on fish	2	
Wat	tershed Management	1	11
8	Need Watershed Management Plan	8	
9	Goal should be sustainability	2	
Wat	ter Supply	2	16
10	Live within limits of watershed/available supply	7	
11	Need Regional Master Water Plan/Policy	5	
12	Clear up water rights, pin down in agreement	2	
Rec	ycled Water	1	10
13	Promote and expand use of recycled water	5	
14	Define recycled use plans better. Set goals.	3	
15	Upgrade treatment of wastewater and use for ground water recharge	1	
	eement Governance	•	1
16	Upgrade WAC, improve diversity of representation	1	
	ancing and Cost Allocation	2	2
17	Equity between current and future customers a concern. Current customers too burdened.	_	_
18	Equitable cost distribution among contractors a concern		
	eral Plan Relationships	1	3
19	Synchronization, relationship of water plans and General Plans a concern	1	3
20	Growth inducing impacts of increasing water supply are a concern	2	
	und Water	2	13
21		7	13
22	Study/promote ground water recharge including construction of detention ponds		
	Measure ground water depletion and replacement	6	1
Water Quality		3	4
23	Reduce/eliminate harmful chemical discharges, pharmaceuticals, etc	1	0
	ter Valley Project	3	8
24	Concerns about Eel River diversion impacts on Eel River	3	
25	Importance of Eel River to Russian River interests	2	4.0
	vel Mining	1	13
26	Impact of gravel mining practices on need for filtration plant, cost	5	
27	Gravel mining impacts on River water quality and environment	7	
	nsmission Project Design and Scheduling	1	1
28	Need to tailor Transmission Project scheduling to local needs. Don't force projects		
	ter Communication		1
29	Better communication by SCWA of financial information to contractors and others	1	
30	Better sharing by SCWA of information		
Added by Participants in Workshop No 2			7
31	Separate Elected SCWA Board (should be vehicle to address this issue)	2	
32	Agricultural toxic chemicals/runoff impacts (sediments in sands/gravel and water quality)	1	
33	Pollution from boating/swimming in reservoirs (Sonoma, Mendocino, Pillsbury)		
34	Long term carrying capacity (need to estimate)	3	
35	Stakeholder/WAC meeting in Ukiah	1	
36	Regulations on extractions from tributaries		
37	Whole new agreement, not just amendment of existing agreement		
		109	109
* Preference expressed by non-utility participants in Workshop 2 (each non-utility participant was			
	von 3 date (votas) to indicate preferences		

given 3 dots (votes) to indicate preferences.